App. F. IX-4

Previous References 1934-35 (Mercy)

File No. 243-34
Serial Nos. 1-4

Later References.

Mercy 96-35-1
Mercy 96-35-243
" 260-35-2
77-39-(1-2)
230-39-(1-2)
201-AA (1-2)-B. May 45

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Part B for May 1935

SUBJECT.

Restraint on the management of the properties of the Sri Bheemanakatta Mutha, Thirukoshtiyur

20 K Cons
1557 q 24-5-35

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

Forwards copy of Law opinion for the Hon'ble Minister's action

Restoration of Shivaram Kotte Mutt property to the [illegible]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 243 of 193 | [App. F III—4

Serial No	
1	Letter No 71/24-31 dated 7 3 35 from the Deputy Commissioner Kolar Mutt requesting that a copy of the Judgment in the appeal case in the Supreme Court may be obtained

Put I

The appeal pending before the High Court, regarding the succession to the Sri Bhimana Kotte Mutt case, is reported to have been heard by the Court and dismissed with costs, the court holding that the suit against Govt was not maintainable (Vide para 146 of the Notes in the Law file Kolar)

This will be sent to Law Section for kindly noting, if the a copy of the Judgment delivered in the above case has been received there and if so to peruse the same, and also whether further action may now be taken here to restore the management of the Mutt properties to the Swamiji

Yes

(4) Law Section)

Law file 24-36 with a copy of the Judgment and decree passed in the Appeal case is perused

NOTE—Contn Sheet

MYS 925—GPB—22 500—12 6 34

Letter No 1406/27-28 dated 3.4.35 — 2
From the Muzrai Comm
States that as the appeal has been finally disposed of, there seems to be no objections to the Shivaganga being given possession of the properties of the Sri Bhimanakatte Matha.

pw 8/4 W.

5) In G.O. No 109-11/Miscg 59.22.6 dated 21st July 1925, Ved. Bodhacharya has been acquired as the Spiritual Head of the Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt. Certain Vigrahas of the Mutt were also ordered to be delivered for worship purposes, to the guardian of the ~~present~~ (then) minor Swamiji in G.O. 2361/Miscg 422-26-2 dated 18.6.1927.

6) As the acquisition, by Govt, of the present Swamiji to the Headship of the Mutt was contested in Court, the M.e has informed in Govt Secy's letter dated 22.10.1934 that the question of restoring the management of the Mutt properties to the Swamiji ~~being~~ be considered after the disposal of the appeal on the file of the High Court of Mysore.

7) The said Appeal is now stated

Re: restoration of Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt's Properties

General and Revenue Secretariat | Rev | File No | of 193 4 | [App. F III–2

Serial No.

to have been disposed of finally in favour of the present Swamiji and copies of the Judgment and Decree passed in the case are perhaps below for perusal.

8) The point for consideration and orders is whether the management of the properties of the Bhimanakatte Mutt may be handed over to the Swamiji, as prayed for by him and recommended by the Mysore Commissioner.

9 In case it is to be handed over to him, the Swamiji will have to execute an Agreement in favour of Govt., as per draft forwarded by the M.C in Annexure and the Law Section may be asked to examine whether the draft is suitable and may be approved.

10) Reference: para 3 supra

DPS 9.4.35

11 As agreed & recommended by the M.C. the Swamiji may be placed in possession of the properties of the Mutt now.

12 The draft agreement to be executed by the Swamiji may be examined in the Law Section as to whether it is all right or not.

A

Ch. Secy. 10/4/35

13) A copy of Rev 17/4/35

Law Section) 17/4/38

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Law—Agreement to be executed 17/4/35

5937.

File No of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

The draft agreement proposed by the Revg. Commr seems to be in order and may be adopted.

ISD 23/4

w (Limited post)

23rd Lrs.

15th The draft agreement in the licked file was prepared in June or July 1931 when the dispute regarding succession was still pending in the High Court. It was therefore then necessary to restrict the powers of the Swamis and I think it is for this purpose that clauses 4 to 9 were put in. Now the appeal is finally disposed of and the Swamis is of right entitled to resume management of the properties and Govt in its turn is under sec 25 of Regn VII of 1927 bound to hand over such management. In these circumstances, it is doubtful how far it is lawful to impose the restrictions contemplated in clauses 4 to 9, and how far such restrictions even if agreed to by the Swamis become legally enforceable. The powers of control that the Revn Deptt Govt can exercise after the management is handed over to the Swamis, are those

Restoration of Sri Bhimasankaratheertha Mutt, Sringeri's

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No — of 193 — [App. F III–2

Serial No.

given under Regulation VII of 1927 and such Customary ~~of~~ law of the land as have been duly recognised ~~by~~ as ~~Personal Tribunals~~ having the force of law.

16) I would therefore suggest that if the Swami in any way objects to clauses 4 to 4 of the draft agreement they may not be insisted on ~~and~~ nor made a condition for refusal to hand ~~over~~ back the management. What is most necessary for Govt is an agreement ratifying their acts & indemnifying them from all consequences of such acts during the course of management. These are amply provided in clauses 3 and 10 of the draft.

Mu—

17 As recommended by the H.C. the Swamiship may be placed in possession of the properties of the Mutt.

18) As regards the agreement the H.C. may be intimated the Law opinion as above.

Chief—

GENL & R. — JUDL — 22 APR 1935 — SECT — CH

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 25 APR. 1935 No. 6-7-4

25 APR. 1935

NOTE—Comm. sheet.

6364 27-4-35

27-4-35

Restoration of the management of the Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt properties

General and Revenue Secretariat

Rev File No. of 1934.

24) A draft G.O. sanctioning the restoration of the management of the Mutt properties to the Swamiji is herewith.

25) The draft letter to the M.C regarding the draft agreement to be executed by the Swamiji is herewith with reference to para 18 above

(26) Please trace up papers relating to the assumption of the management of Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt & the properties belonging thereto —

BJS 30/4

GS 1/5

27) The Hunsegai Collections 59-22 15- and 63-25-6 dated 21st Oct 1922 and 21st Nov 1925 relating to the above are herewith, and the draft G.O is revised

BJS 28-21/5

21/5

B T

TYPING SECTION 22. MAY 1935 GENL & REV. SECTT

1534-6/24.5.1935-3

The M.C in charge

... Comptroller to/as

... D.C Shimoga

directing that the Swamiji of Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt be placed

Serial No.

4

B
25/5/35

98 25/5

File No. of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

8

No. 1406
27-28

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 31st July .. ...1935.
2/8.

[App F III-2

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAU, ESQ, B A, B L,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

TO H. V. Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
.....General. *Department*

SIR,

Restoration of the management of the properties of the Sri Bheemanakatte Matha.

With reference to correspondence ending with the Chief Secretary's letter No.1557/Muz.243-34-4 dated 24th May 1935 re: the above, I have the honor to state, for the information of Government, that it is reported by the Deputy Commissioner of the Shimoga District, that the Swamigalu intends taking charge of the Mutt properties on or after the 29th September 935, when he will return to Matha headquarters after completng Chaturmasa Sankalpa at Madras.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
N. Madhava Rau
Muzrai Commissioner.

GENERAL RECORD BRA.
9 AUG. 1935
1014

7/8/8

8/8/35

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

S 192 1934
P

10

THE OFFICE OF HIS HOLINESS
SRI RAGHU TILAKA TIRTHA SRIPADANGALAV
BHIMANAKATTE MUTT. TIRTHAHALLI TQ.
(MYSORE STATE)

Camp Pudukotah.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 6 MAR 1935 NO.

Ref. No. 71/34-35

Dated at Pudukotah the 3rd March 1935

10/3.

S.P. Rajagopalachari Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Member of Council, BANGALORE.

Re:- His Holiness Sree Swamigalu of Bhimanakatte Mutt on tour in camp - Pudukkottai - enroute to Rameswaram.

Honoured Sir,

Under instructions from His Holiness, I, the Agent of the Mutt, beg to submit the following for your generous and favourable consideration and orders.

His Holiness had the pleasure of hearing on Monday last, the favoura decision of the Mysore High Court in the appeal prepared by the Mutt's opponent, by upholding the sacred and time-honoured prerogative of the Mysore Government, exercised in according sanction for the installation of His Holiness to the Bhimanakatte Mutt. His Holiness will ever contin to pray Sri Ramachandra, the presiding deity of the Mutt, for the long li of His Highness the Maharajah and continued prosperity of the Mysore thro

I beg to draw your kind attention to your office letter No.1406/27-28 dated 6-9-34 intimating that the question of the Mutt properties, etc., will be decided as soon as the appeal is disposed of. Now that the fina decision of the High Court has been passed. His Holiness desires that final orders in this matter may kindly be passed as early as possible.

I have sufficiently referred in my letter dated the 14th August 1934 the present deteriorated condition of the several Mutt properties situate in the Mysore State, and outside, and the extent of the loss the Mutt is incurring for want of proper management and timely supervision. The Government is aware of the importance of the Mutt and His Holiness in his tour finds Himself much handicapped for want of funds to keep up the prestige of the Mutt and to discharge the various functions devolving on him in the holy places he has to visit and holding of the Vidvat Sabhas etc. which the Madhwa desciples expect from the Guru's of recognised Mutts.

I therefore earnestly request that you will be pleased to pass early orders in the matter of the restoration of the management of the Mutt properties and also in the other two matters noted below which formed the subject matter of my reference quoted above (viz.) 1. payment of arrears of monthly charges due from Jan. 1934 and (2) Recognising the claims of the Mutt for its income from Sandalwood, etc., in the KANS belonging to the Mutt and the arrears due to the Mutt thereon.

His Holiness is always appreciating your generosity in the interest of the Mutt and desires me to convey his hearty benedictions to you.

I beg to remain,
Honoured Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

D. S. Shamachar.

AGENT, SRI BHIMANAKATTE MUTT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

1095

Read:—

Government Order No.P.578-81/Law19-31-5 dated 27th July 1931 entrusting certain Civil and Criminal cases on the file of the High Court of Mysore, to Mr.C.L.Gowda, Advocate, Bangalore.

2. Correspondence ending with the letter dated 15th March 1935 from Mr.C.L.Gowda, Advocate, Bangalore, submitting for sanction a bill for Rs.535-0-0 being his remuneration for professional services rendered in R.A.16/30-31 on the file of the High Court of Mysore (Vedamurthy Balakrishna vs Government), suit relating to the succession to the Bhimanakatte Mutt, Shimoga District.

ORDER NO: P 5382-86 Law 34-34-16

Dated, Bangalore, the 28th March 1935.
30

Sanctioned; The expenses have to be paid out of the funds of Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt, Shimoga District.

The Muzrai Commissioner is requested to take necessary further action to recover the costs due under the decree and credit the realisations thereof to the Mutt.

Mysore

In General Secretary to Government.
JSD

To

Mr. C. L. Gowda, Advocate, Bangalore, with the bill.

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, with a copy of the Judgement and decree.

" Comptroller to Government.

" Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District.

" Public Prosecutor, Shimoga.

S.R.9

Mur Br

The file relating to Bhimana Katte Mutt Case has been sent recently to the Mang. Br. for taking necessary further action in the matter of retention of property by the Swamijee. This may also be sent here for disposal

TSD.
5/4

pre
5/4

6/4/35

5 737 934

No. 1406/27-28

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, ... 193 .

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Department.

SIR,

Re-

Referring to [illegible] dated 19th/23rd October 19[illegible] from the Chief Secretary, Government stating that the question of the [illegible] Gangalu may be considered after the appeal in the case filed in the High Court of Mysore, [illegible] vs. T. L. Gowda, [illegible], is disposed of, and that the appeal was admitted [illegible] that a copy of judgment [illegible] soon as received.

2. Now that the regular appeal [illegible] seems to have no objection [illegible] of the properties of the Math. In this connection, I have [illegible] reference to this office letter [illegible] and to state that if the Math [illegible] Gangalu sent with the above [illegible] necessary further action will be taken [illegible] Gangalu are hereby [illegible] properties and may also [illegible] addressed to the Secretary [illegible]

[illegible] matter.

[illegible] order to [illegible],

[illegible],

[illegible] obedient servant,

[illegible]

[illegible] Commissioner.

Decree of Appellate Court
(O.41.R.35.C.P.Code)

C. C. No.32, Civil.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MYSORE AT BANGALORE.

PRESENT.

Mr. H. D. C. Reilly, Chief Justice.

and

Mr.Justice N. K. Sreenivasa Rao.

Regular Appeal No.16 of 1930-1931.

APPELLANT: Vedamurthy Balakrishna, now a major.

-VS-

RESPONDENTS:

(1) The Government of Mysore represented by its Chief Secretary, Bangalore.

(2) The Swami of Bhandarakere Mutt, Sri Vidyarnava Thirtha Sree Padangalavaru deceased by legal representative, Sree Vidyamanya Thirtha Sree Padangalavaru minor by guardian Agraharada Lakshmi Narayana Upadhya residing at Adhar, Udipi Taluk.

(3) The Sishyas of Sree Bhimanakatte Matt represented by the following who are leading representatives thereof:-

(a) Hadigalla Nagappa,
(b) Mundukodu Venkatadasachar
(c) Hadigalla Naranappa, since deceased L.R's not necessary (vide order dated 26-6-1931).
(d) Kadasoor Kadappa,
(e) Halamdoor Subbannayya,
(f) Hayagreevachar
(g) Valageri Gururayachar, since deceased L.R's not necessary (vide order dated 26-6-1931).
(h) Hunasavalli Venkataramachar
(i) Devastanada Padmanabhaiah

(4) Udupi Bhodachar alias Sree Raghu Thilaka Swami, minor by guardian Udupi Ramachar,

(5) Raghupraveerachar

(6) Narayanachar

(7) Gurachar

(8) H. Subba Rao

(9) Lakshminarayanachar

(10) Pandurangappa

(11) Manjappa

(12) Bhima Rao, minor by guardian Madyastha.

(13) S. Venkatabhatta

(14) Ajamila alias Anantharama Upadhya

(15) H. Subbarayachar, since deceased L.R's unnecessary, vide order dated 26-8-1931.

(16) G.Hayagreevachar, since deceased L.R's unnecessary (vide order dated 26-8-1931)

(17) S. Vishnumurthy Bhatta

(18) H. Nagesa Rao

(19) H. Venkata Rao

(20) H.Ramakrishnappa

(21) K. Gururayachar

(22) H.Greenivasachar

(23) K.Anantachar

(24) M.Narasimhachar

(25) B.Hutchachar

(26) H.Ramabhatta

(27) Vyasachar

(28) Krishnabhatta

(29) Mariyappa

(30) Manjappa

(31) Venkata Rao

(32) Seetharamayya

(33) Greenivasachar

(34) Venkataramanabhatta

(35) Gururayachar

(36) Venkataramanachar

(37) B.Vedavyasachar

Regular Appeal from the decree of the Court of the District Judge of Shimoga dated the 12th day of February 1930.

Memorandum

MEMORANDUM OF APPEAL.

Vedamurthy Balakrishna, now a major .. PLAINTIFF.

- Vs -

The Government of Mysore, represented by its Chief Secretary, Bangalore and others. .. DEFENDANTS.

Appellant abovenamed appeals to the Chief Court of Mysore against the decree of the District Judge of Shimoga in Original Suit No.6 of 1925-26 dated the 12th day of February 1930, for the following among other reasons, namely:-

The Lower Court erred in holding that this Court has no jurisdiction to try the suit and that the recognition of the fourth defendant by Government as the Successor to the Office of is an act of prerogative which is not liable to be questioned by a Civil Court.

On the passing of Judgment in this appeal in the presence of Mr.A.R.Nageswara Iyer, Advocate, for the Appellant and Mr.C.Lakshmana Gowda, Advocate, for the 1st Respondent and Messrs.T.G.Naranaiengar and T.R.Sreenivasa Iyengar, Advocates for the 4th Respondent, others being absent and unrepresented.

It is ordered and decrees that this appeal be and is hereby dismissed.

And it is further ordered and decreed that the Appellant (Plaintiff) aforesaid do pay to the 1st Respondent (1st Defendant), the Government of Mysore, and to the 4th Respondent (4th Defendant) aforesaid the sums of Rs.258/- (Rupees two hundred and fifty eight) and Rs.252/- (Rupees two hundred and fifty two) respectively, being the amounts of costs incurred by the respective Respondents in this Court, with interest thereon at six

six per cent per annum from this date until the date of realisation.

Given under my hand and the seal of this Court this 30th day of January 1935.

Sd/- C. Venkata Ranga Iyengar
Registrar.

MEMORANDUM OF COSTS INCURRED IN THIS APPEAL.

Declaratory Suit:- (Plaintiff claiming to be the Patadhipati of the Bhimanakatte Matt)

Value of injunction:- Re. 1/-.

	By the Appellant (Plaintiff)	By the 1st Respondent. (1st Defendant)	By the 4th Respondent. (4th Defendant)
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Court fee on Memo of Appeal.	201- 0- 0	0- 0- 0	0- 0- 0
" on Application.	6- 0- 0	6- 0- 0	0- 0- 0
" On Power of Attorney.	2- 0- 0	2- 0- 0	2- 0- 0
" On Processes.	20-10- 0	0- 0- 0	0- 0- 0
" Copies of Decree and Judgment.	1-10- 0	0- 0- 0	0- 0- 0
Advocate's fee.	250- 0- 0	250- 0- 0	250- 0- 0
Costs of Adjournment.	0- 0- 0	0- 0- 0	0- 0- 0
Fees for copying.	6- 8- 0	0- 0- 0	0- 0- 0
Special copying charges.	8-13- 0	0- 0- 0	0- 0- 0
Fees for translation.	0- 0- 0	0- 0- 0	0- 0- 0
Cost on Remand.	0- 0- 0	0- 0- 0	0- 0- 0
Printing charges.	21-14- 0	0- 0- 0	0- 0- 0
Mysore Gazette Notification charges regarding service of Notices of appeal in respect of certain respondents.	33- 0- 0	0- 0- 0	0- 0- 0
	551- 7- 0	258- 0- 0	252- 0- 0

Sd/- A. N. Kuppuswami
Deputy Registrar.

"Copy"

General Secretary to Government.

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

drafts

Section Mesc

File No. 243-34

Serial No. 3

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue ..24..5..1935.

Signed by Mr B. Muddu Krishnappa.

Read—

(i) G.O. No 1586/Misc 59-22-14 dated 20.10.1922 directing the Sri Bhimankatte Matha in the Thirthahalli Taluk and its properties in the Mysore State, be taken under Govt management and calling for proposals regarding Order thereon.—No. ~~++~~ re appointment of a successor to the Matha in place of the ~~late~~ Swamiji who passed away on 9th July 1922.

(ii) G.O. No 107-11 Misc. 59-22-dated 21st July 1925 in reference to the examination of claimants and necessary to the inquiry

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

of the Sri Bhimanakatte Matha

3) G.O. 110 ⊗ 14-15 / Memg 63-25-6 dated 21st November 1925 passing orders on the proposal of the M.C. for the management of the Sri Bhimanakatte Matha during the minority of the proposed Swamiji required in the second of the Gadi rules read above.

(4) G.O. 110 ⊗ 2361 / Memg 422-26 dated 16th June 1927 approving of the recommendation of the Mysore Commissioner in Mysore to hand over the three Vigrahas of Sri Rama, Vitta and Lakshmana and the Damodara Salagrama required by the minor Swamiji of the Sri Bhimanakatte Matha for purposes of worship, to Ved. Ramachariya, guardian of the said minor Swamiji, subject to certain conditions.

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*

N⊗ in linked file.

(5) Correspondence ending with last General Secretary's letter No ⊗ P 1905 dated 22.10.1934 to the Municipal Commissioner in Mysore, stating that since the Regular Appeal in the file of the High Court Mysore (Bhimanakatte Mutt case) was likely to be disposed of in the near future, the question of ~~suspending the~~ restoration of the management of the properties of the Sri Bhimanakatte Matha to the Swamigalu, might be considered after the disposal of the above appeal.

(6) G.O. No ⊗ P 5382-86 / Law 24-36-16 dated 30.3.1935 - sanctioning the bill for Rs 535/- being the remuneration due to Mr C. L. Gowda B.A.B.L Advocate Bangalore for - [illegible] services rendered

in R.A. 16/30-31 in the Case
of the Kegalle Court relating to the
Quit Claim to the Succession
to the Late Sri Bhimanakatte Mattha
(8) Correspondence ending with
Letter No 1406/27-28 dated
3rd April 1935 from the M.C
Kegalle, stating that as the
Regular Appeal in the case of
the High Court Kegalle in the
Quit Refused to abate had
been dismissed, there was
no objection to the Swamiyals
being placed in possession of
the properties of the Mattha,
as ~~respectfully~~ requested by
him.

(7) Letter No 71/34-35 dated the 3.3.1935 from the Agent to the Sri Bhimanakatte Mattha comp praduketa, requesting that early action may be taken for restoring the management of the properties of the Mattha to the Swamiyala.

Order 15546 of the D.M.C

Government are pleased
to direct that ~~the~~ the
Swamiyala of Sri Bhimanakatte
Mattha, be placed in possession
the properties of the Mutt.

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*

The Manager Commercial is requested to take necessary action in the matter and report the result to the information of the Collector

2/2/15

for Chief of Secretariat

The M. in Office Contractor Ex. Manager

Sanction 28-5-35 Cairo, to renew Contract ... 1st April, 1935 Bhimanakatte Mill

WD 0186—GPB—15,000—6-9 34

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read :—

Government order [illegible] 1922 [illegible] the Sri Shimsha [illegible] and the properties [illegible] of a successor to the [illegible] on 9th July 1922.

2. Government order No.109-11 Miz. [illegible] 1925 approving of the nomination [illegible] headship of the Sri Bhimanakatte [illegible].

3. Government order No.614-15 Miz.6[illegible] 1925 passing orders on the proposals [illegible] for the management of the Sri Bhimanakatte [illegible] minority of the Swamigal [illegible] and orders read above.

4. Government order No.[illegible] approving of the recommendation [illegible] by ore to hand over the three vigrahas [illegible] Lakshmana and the Saudara Salig[illegible] Swamigalu of the Sri Bhimanakatte [illegible] to Ved. Ramachar, [illegible] to certain conditions.

5. Sorres, [illegible] 1. 5 [illegible] stating that since the regular appeal [illegible] of Mysore Bhimanakatte suit case) was [illegible] in the near future, the question [illegible] ment of the properties of the Sri [illegible] Swamigalu [illegible] appeal.

6. Government order [illegible] Law [illegible] 30th March 1935 sanctioning [illegible] the remuneration [illegible] Bangalore for professional services rendered [illegible] in the file of the [illegible] Court of [illegible] relating to the succession to [illegible] properties [illegible].

7. Letter No.71 74-35 dated [illegible] from the Agent to the Srimanmaharaja [illegible] requesting that early action may be taken for recording the management of the properties of the late Srimanmaharaja.

8. Correspondence [illegible] with letter No.14 6'25-3 dated 3rd April 1935 from the Nazrai Commissioner in Mysore, stating that as the Regular Appeal in the file of the Munsif Court of Mysore in the suit referred to above has been dismissed, there was no objection to the Dewan Bahadur being placed in possession of the properties in question, as requested by him.

Order No. IS-S-4-6 / Mus 243-34-3

Bangalore, dated 22nd May 1935.

Government are pleased to direct that the Dewan Bahadur of Srimanmaharaja Mysore, be placed in possession of the properties of the late Maharaja. The Nazrai Commissioner is requested to take necessary action in the matter and report the result for the information of the Government.

By order of the Secretary to Government

To

The Nazrai Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller to Government.
The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

bnl.7

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section. Mez

File No. 243-34

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. 1557 & draft

To The M.C in Mysore

Sir,

General and Revenue Secretariat.

( )

the 193 .

With reference to para 2 of your letter no 1406/27-28 dated the 3.4.1935 regarding the draft Agreement to be executed by the Sharavathy Valley Power Co in connection with the Restoration of the mines and the Power properties to him. I am directed to forward herewith the opinion of the Law Dept in the matter for favour of necessary further action as indicated therein.

Date of issue 24-5-35

Signed by Mudaliar

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

(para 15-17 of the Notes, to be separately typed)

Examined by.....

Yours etc

21/5

for Chief Secy

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSOR

General and Revenue Secretariat

No 1557 Dated, Bangalore, 22nd May 193 24th

From Muz 243-34-4.

The *Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to para 2 of your letter No.1406/27-28 dated the 3rd April 1935 regarding the draft agreement to be executed by the Swamigal of the Sri Chimmakatte Mutt in connection with the restoration of the management of the Mutt properties to him, I am directed to forward herewith the opinion of the Law Department in the matter for favour of necessary further action as indicated therein.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Chief Secretary to Government.